

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 ROBERT LEE GILLELAND,

13 Defendant.
14

No. CR03-444RSL


ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
TERMINATE SUPERVISED RELEASE

15 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Motion for Termination of Term of
16 Supervised Release," Dkt. #26. Defendant contends that termination of supervision is warranted
17 by his conduct and the interest of justice. Id. at 2. Defense counsel apparently spoke with
18 defendant's probation officer who "confirmed that Mr. Gilleland has been fully compliant with
19 supervision and has tested drug free on all occasions." Id. The government opposes the motion
20 because the Probation Office has not joined in the request. Dkt. #27.

21 Because the Court has received no filing from the Probation Office in support of
22 termination, the Court DENIES defendant's motion to terminate supervised release (Dkt. #26).
23 Defendant may resubmit his motion after the Probation Office has had an opportunity to issue a
24 recommendation on the matter.
25

26 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
TERMINATE SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1

1 DATED this 10th day of July, 2009.
2
3
4

5 

6 Robert S. Lasnik
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26